# In Vivo Preclinical Characterization of a Novel FGFR2 Selective Inhibitor with Potency Against FGFR Activating Mutations

John Fischer, Karyn Bouhana, Mark J Chicarelli, Brad Fell, Jennifer Fulton, Anna Guarnieri, Leyla Haygood, Ravi Jalluri, Amber Johnson, Daniel Krischlunas, Cori Malinky, Macedonio Mejia, Rob Rieger, John Robinson, Mareli Rodriguez, Aaron C Smith, Francis Sullivan, Yang Wang, Shannon Winski, Yeyun Zhou | Cogent Biosciences, Inc., Boulder, Colorado and Cambridge, Massachusetts USA

## Background

- Fibroblast growth factor receptors (FGFRs) are a family of transmembrane receptors consisting of isoforms FGFR1-FGFR4<sup>1</sup>
  - FGFR signaling impacts key cellular processes (**Fig. 1**)
  - Binding of a ligand to the inactive FGFR monomer leads to receptor dimerization, phosphorylation, and downstream signaling
  - Key processes include cell survival, proliferation, migration and differentiation
- FGFR2/3 alterations are well-established oncogenic drivers in multiple indications<sup>2</sup>
- FGFR2/3 alterations are present in 4.1% of all cancers, 80% of which are activating mutations
- FGFR2 is highly altered in cholangiocarcinoma and uterine endometroid tumors (Fig. 2)



#### Figure 2. FGFR2 Alteration Frequency by Tumor Types<sup>2</sup>



## Table 1. Clinical Features and Clinical Coverage of Pan-FGFR Inhibitors

		Clinical Coverage								
	Dose Schedule	ORR	Hyperphos	Stomatitis	Indication			FGFR alteration		
Clinical Compound					Adv/met ICC	Adv/met UC	Indications outside of ICC/UC	FGFR2 fusions	FGFR2 activating mutations	FGFR2 resistance mutations
Pemigatinib <sup>3,5</sup>	2 wk on/ 1 wk off	36% ICC	92%	35%						
Infigratinib <sup>6,7</sup>	3 wk on/ 1 wk off	23% ICC	82%	56%						
Futibatinib <sup>8, 9</sup>	Monitor tolerability	42% ICC	85%	30%						
UC, Urothelial Carcinoma; ICC, Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma; KD, Kinase Domain Not Approved: Approved: Approved:										

• FGFR1 mediated hyperphosphatemia was the most common DLT of approved pan-FGFR inhibitors

- Inhibition of FGFR2 fusions in cholangiocarcinoma and FGFR3 mutations in urothelial cancer has led to improved clinical outcomes in defined patient populations<sup>3,4</sup>
- There remains an unmet need for a potent FGFR2 inhibitor with broad mutational coverage and which avoids FGFR1 to enable increased response rates with longer durability (current drugs 5-9 months)<sup>3, 10</sup> and better tolerability than existing agents

#### Figure 3. Treatment of FGFR2 Acquired Resistance Mutations Represents an Unmet Medical Need<sup>11-14</sup>

- Despite clinical hyperphosphatemia, disease progression is frequently driven by acquired resistance mutations
- Gatekeeper V564X (43% of patients) and molecular brake N549K (48% of patients) mutations are the main mechanisms of resistance to existing therapies<sup>11-14</sup>
- This highlights the need for compounds with broader mutational coverage to maximize response rate and increase duration of response

FGFR2



Results



- prevent optimal clinical efficacy

#### Figure 4. Crystal Structure of CGT1672 Bound to FGFR2 N549K Supported Structure Based Drug Design



Figure 5. Ongoing Optimization of CGT1672 has Led to Enhanced FGFR2/FGFR1 Selectivity

CGT1672 was selected as a tool compound to be used to complete validation of our in vivo models

Optimization of CGT1672 has led to a set of analogs that retain high potency across all FGFR2 mutants, 30% of which are >50X selective for FGFR2 vs. FGFR1

# 34<sup>th</sup> EORTC/NCI/AACR (ENA) Symposium 2022 | 26-28 October 2022 | Barcelona, Spain

#### Table 2. CGT1672 Retains Potency Across Primary and Acquired Resistance Mutations

Anti-Target (nM)		FGFR2 (nM)									
FGFR1		WT	V564F	V564L	V564I	N549K					
346		15	9	7	14	18					
2063		8	1	5	88	28					
10		2	>1000	819	58	81					
4		2	147	30	2	1					

• Table 2 shows mechanistic cellular IC<sub>50</sub>'s of FGFR1, FGFR2WT and the FGFR2 gatekeeper and molecular brake mutants

• Optimization of CGT0292 (AACR 2022 Abs 167) led to CGT1672 with improved potency vs. point mutation • Only CGT1672 is selective over FGFR1 and maintains potency across the acquired resistance mutations

• Known clinical and commercial stage FGFR2 inhibitors have FGFR1 selectivity and/or target coverage issues which

• Inhibition of the primary and acquired resistance mutations may provide deeper and more durable clinical responses

- 2.1Å Crystal structure of CGT1672 (shown as pink surface) bound to FGFR2 N549K mutant reinforces understanding for potency and selectivity
- CGT1672 has optimized binding in the ATP pocket and maintains potency for all of the prevalent FGFR2 mutations which are common modes of resistance to current drugs
- Structure based drug design has also been used to further optimize the inhibitors for selectivity over the general kinome, FGFR1, and FGFR4



References. 1. Helsten T, et al. Clin Cancer Res. 2016;22(1):259-267; 2. AACR Project GENIE Consortium. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Wilmington, DE: Inctye; Corporation; 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Wilmington, DE: Inctye; Corporation; 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibatinib; prescribing information). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibation). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibation). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252-263; 9. LYTGOBI (futibation). Brisbane, CA: QED Therapeutics: 2021; 8. Goyal L, e prescribing information). Princeton, NJ: Taiho Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.; 2022; 10. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Research. 2021;81(13\_Suppl) Abstract CT010; 11. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252–263; 12. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252–263; 12. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252–263; 12. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2017; 7(3): 252–263; 12. Goyal L, et al. Cancer Discov. 2021; 5:PO.20.00178; 14. Silverman IM, et al. Cancer Discov. 2021; 11(2): 326-339. Disclosures. All authors are employees of Cogent Biosciences

## Figure 6. CGT1672 Shows Favorable PK/PD in the Clinically Relevant AN3 CA (K310R/N549K) Model



### Figure 7. CGT1672 Demonstrates DE Mouse PK and Regressions in the AN3 CA (K310R/N549K) TGI Model



- window for FGFR1 at 30 mg/kg
- brake mutation

# Conclusions

- tumor types



## Abstract Number: 227 Poster Board: PB107

• CGT1672 shows a dose dependent inhibition of pERK in the AN3 CA PK/PD model

• Futibatinib was used as the control compound for both the PD(Fig. 6) and TGI(Fig. 7) AN3 CA mouse tumor models but has limited clinical utility due to hyperphosphatemia

• CGT1672 dose response PK shows IC<sub>50</sub> target coverage of N549K mutant FGFR2 with a selectivity

CGT1672 at 30 mg/kg and futibatinib at 5 mg/kg gave regression with BID PO dosing

Futibatinib is clinically limited due to inhibition of FGFR1, preventing treatment of the N549K molecular

• FGFR2 alterations are well established oncogenic drivers in multiple indications • Approved FGFR pan-inhibitors fail to capture the full landscape of FGFR2 altered

Gatekeeper (V564X) and molecular brake (N549K) mutations are untreated in the primary setting and have been identified as a common mechanisms of resistance to current therapies, which may explain their limited durability of response

to access a PDF copy of this poster

Scan the QR code



• CGT1672 and inhibitors in this series are potent across FGFR2 primary and required resistance mutations • Optimization of the tool compound CGT1672, using structure-based drug design , has led to a promising series of FGFR2 inhibitors that are >50x selective for FGFR2 over FGFR1

• Dose Response PK/PD is shown with CGT1672 in the AN3 CA (K310R/N549K) model

• CTGT1672 shows tumor regressions in an AN3 CA mouse xenograft model, which differentiates CGT1672 from other selective FGFR2 inhibitors that lack activity against the N549K molecular brake mutantion

• Further optimization of this series has led to compounds which are at least 50X selective versus FGFR1